

## Chapter 7

# Description of How the Regional Water Plan is Consistent with Long-Term Protection of the State's Water Resources, Agricultural Resources, and Natural Resources

---

The development of viable strategies to meet the demand for water is the primary focus of regional water planning. However, another important goal of water planning is the long-term protection of resources that contribute to water availability, and to the quality of life in the State. The purpose of this chapter is to describe how the 2011 Plan is consistent with the long-term protection of the State's water resources, agricultural resources, and natural resources. The requirement to evaluate the consistency of the regional water plan with protection of resources is found in 31 TAC Chapter 357.14(2)(C), which states, in part:

“The regional water plan is consistent with the guidance principles if it is developed in accordance with §358.3 of this title (relating to Guidelines), §357.5 of this title (relating to Guidelines for Development of Regional Water Plans), §357.7 of this title (relating to Regional Water Plan Development), §357.8 of this title (relating to Ecologically Unique River and Stream Segments), and §357.9 of this title (relating to Unique Sites for Reservoir Construction).”

Chapter 7 addresses this issue by providing general descriptions of how the plan is consistent with protection of water resources, agricultural resources, and natural resources. Additionally, the chapter will specifically address consistency of the 2011 Plan with the State's water planning requirements. To demonstrate compliance with the State's requirements, a matrix has been developed and will be addressed in Section 7.4.

## 7.1 Consistency with the Protection of Water Resources

The water resources in the ETRWPA include portions of three river basins providing surface water, and portions of four aquifers providing groundwater. The three major river basins within the ETRWPA boundaries are the Sabine River Basin, the Neches River Basin, and the Trinity River Basin. The respective boundaries of these basins are depicted in Figure 1.2, in Chapter 1. The region's groundwater resources include, primarily, the Gulf Coast and Carrizo-Wilcox aquifers. Lesser amounts of water are also drawn from the Sparta and Queen City aquifers and localized aquifers, such as the Yegua-Jackson. The extents of these aquifers within the region are depicted on Figures 1.9 and 1.10 in Chapter 1.

Surface water accounts for approximately 75% of the total water use in the region. Sources include 11 reservoirs in the Neches River Basin, three in the Sabine River Basin, and one in the Trinity River Basin. Lake Columbia and Lake Fastrill, if built, would also be located in the Neches River Basin. Currently, the majority of the available surface water supply used in the ETRWPA comes from the Neches River Basin.

The Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer and Gulf Coast aquifers are, by far, the most important groundwater resources in The ETRWPA, accounting for approximately 75% of the available groundwater. Over the past decade or more, significant water level declines have been observed in the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer around the cities of Tyler, Lufkin, and Nacogdoches. Lufkin and Nacogdoches are both considering development of new surface water sources to meet projected shortages. The City of Tyler already relies largely on surface water supplies.

To be consistent with the long-term protection of water resources, the 2011 Plan must recommend strategies that minimize threats to the region's sources of water over the planning period. The water management strategies identified in Chapter 4 were evaluated for threats to water resources. The recommended strategies represent a comprehensive plan for meeting the needs of the region while effectively minimizing threats to water resources. Descriptions of some of the major strategies for the 2011 Plan and the ways in which they minimize threats are the following:

- **Water conservation.** Strategies for water conservation have been recommended that will help reduce the demand for water, thereby reducing the impact on the region's groundwater and surface water sources. Water conservation practices are expected to save over 23,860 ac-ft of water annually by 2060, reducing impacts on both groundwater and surface water resources. The plan also assumes significant savings in municipal demands due to the implementation of plumbing codes. Water conservation benefits the State's water resources by reducing the volumes of water withdrawals necessary to support human activity.
- **Development of Lake Columbia.** This strategy will increase surface water supplies available for cities, industry and agriculture in the ETRWPA.
- **Use of water from Toledo Bend by Regions C and D.** This strategy is planned for near the end of the planning horizon. If economically feasible, it could reduce the need for additional reservoirs in Regions C and D.
- **Optimized use of existing surface water resources.** Water management strategies that involve existing surface water resources work to optimize these resources and reduce the need for development of new surface water reservoirs. The WAM, a part of the regional planning process, assesses how the increased use of surface water resources will impact the Region's water resources. The WAMs developed for the ETRWPA indicate adequate availability of surface water in the region.
- **Optimized use of groundwater.** This strategy has generally been recommended for entities with sufficient groundwater supply available to meet needs, but currently without adequate infrastructure (i.e., well capacity). Groundwater availability reported in the plan is based on the long-term sustainability of the aquifer. No strategies are recommended to use water above the sustainable level.

## 7.2 Consistency with Protection of Agricultural Resources

Agriculture is an important economic cornerstone of the ETRWPA. Even with adequate rainfall, irrigation is a critical aspect of some agriculture in the region. Rice irrigation in the coastal counties is supplied by LNVA, primarily, with water from the Rayburn/Steinhagen system. The WAMs indicate adequate availability of surface water to meet the projected irrigation demands for the planning period.

## 7.3 Consistency with Protection of Natural Resources

The ETRWPA contains many natural resources, which must be considered in water planning. Natural resources include threatened or endangered species; local, state, and federal parks and public land; and energy/mineral reserves. Following is a brief discussion of how the 2011 Plan is consistent with the long-term protection of these resources.

**7.3.1 Threatened/Endangered Species.** A list of species of special concern, including threatened or endangered species, located within the ETRWPA is contained in Chapter 1 Appendix A. Included are fifteen species of birds, six mammals, fourteen reptiles/amphibians, and eight fish. In general, water management strategies planned for the ETRWPA would not affect threatened or endangered species. Development of new reservoirs in the region could affect threatened or endangered species and their habitat. However, the development of any reservoir requires extensive environmental impact studies that address potential effects on threatened or endangered species. Any such impacts indicated by these studies would need to be mitigated in accordance with federal and state environmental regulations in order for the reservoir project to be allowed.

**7.3.2 Parks and Public Lands.** The ETRWPA contains national forests, wildlife refuges, and a preserve; as well as state parks, forests, and wildlife management areas. In addition, there are numerous local (e.g., city or county parks), recreational facilities, and other local public lands located throughout the region. None of the water management strategies currently proposed for the ETRWPA is expected to adversely impact state or local parks or public land.

In general, federal lands (i.e., national forests, wildlife refuges, or preserves) cannot be subjugated by state or local projects. It would be unlikely, therefore, that a proposed water management strategy for the ETRWPA would be permitted to adversely impact such properties. One proposed water management strategy in the ETRWPA, Fastrill Reservoir, is in potential conflict with a new wildlife refuge being developed by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). The Neches River National Wildlife Refuge is located within the footprint of the proposed reservoir and could, therefore, prevent the development of the reservoir. The City of Dallas, a sponsor of the proposed reservoir, and the TWDB have filed a lawsuit to prevent the refuge, based on the contention that the USFWS did not conduct an appropriate environmental impact study prior to its establishment. In March 2009, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld an earlier court decision in favor of the FWS. The City and TWDB are currently considering an appeal to the United States Supreme Court.

**7.3.3 Timber Resources.** Much of the ETRWPA is heavily forested and timber is an important economic resource for the region. Although the development of Lake Columbia and Lake Fastrill would inundate some forested areas, this loss in timber resources would be partially offset by gains in wetland areas, aquatic habitat and water recreation area. A full environmental assessment is a part of the planning process for development of reservoirs. The results of such environmental assessments identify any significant effects on timber resources and propose mitigation, as necessary. An environmental impact statement for Lake Columbia has been prepared and is under review by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

**7.3.4 Energy Reserves.** Numerous oil and gas wells are located within the ETRWPA, including the East Texas Oil Field, and four of the top 10 producing gas fields in the state. Producing oil wells and top producing oil fields are depicted in Chapter 1 Figures 1.19 and

1.20, respectively. In addition, significant lignite coal resources can be found in the ETRWPA under portions of 12 counties. Lignite coal resources are depicted in Figure 1.22. These resources represent an important economic base for the region. None of the water management strategies is expected to significantly impact oil, gas, or coal production in the region.

## **7.4 Consistency with State Water Planning Guidelines**

To be considered consistent with long-term protection of the State's water, agricultural, and natural resources, the ETRWPA Water Plan must also be determined to be in compliance with the following regulations:

- 31 TAC Chapter 358.3
- 31 TAC Chapter 357.5
- 31 TAC Chapter 357.7
- 31 TAC Chapter 357.8
- 31 TAC Chapter 357.9

The information, data, evaluation, and recommendations included in Chapters 1 through 6 and Chapters 8 and 9 of the 2011 Plan collectively demonstrate compliance with these regulations. To assist with demonstrating compliance, the ETRWPA has developed a matrix addressing the specific recommendations contained in the above referenced regulations. Table 7.1 is a completed matrix, which is a checklist highlighting each pertinent paragraph of the regulations. The content of the 2011 Plan have been evaluated against this matrix.

Column 1 includes a regulatory citation for all subsections and paragraphs contained in the above regulations. A summary of each cited regulation is included in Column 2. It should be understood that this summary is intended only to provide a general description of the particular section of the regulation and should not be assumed to contain all specifics of the actual regulation. The evaluation of the Regional Water Plan should be performed

against the complete regulation, as contained in the actual 31 TAC 358 and 31 TAC 357 regulations.

Column 3 of the checklist provides the evaluation response as affirmative, negative, or not applicable. A “Yes” in this column indicates that the ETRWPG believes the Regional Water Plan complies with the stated section of the regulation. A “No” response indicates that the ETRWPG believes the Regional Water Plan does not comply with the stated regulation. A response of “NA” (or not applicable) indicates that the stated section of the regulation does not apply to the Regional Water Plan.

The evidence of where in the Regional Water Plan the stated regulation is addressed is provided in Column 4. Where the regulation is addressed in multiple locations within the Regional Water Plan, this column may cite only the primary locations. In addition to identifying where the regulation is addressed, this column may include commentary about the application of the regulation in the Regional Water Plan.

The above-listed regulations are repetitive, in some instances. One section of the regulations may be restated or paraphrased elsewhere within the regulations. In some cases, multiple sections of the regulations may be combined into one separate regulation section. Column 5 indicates cross-referencing for water planning regulations.

*This page intentionally left blank*

**Table 7.1 Checklist for Comparison of the Regional Water Plan to Applicable Water Planning Regulations**

(Column 1) Regulatory Citation	(Column 2) Summary of Requirement	(Column 3) 2011 Plan Complies? (Yes/No/ NA)	(Column 4) Location(s) in Regional Plan and/or Other Commentary	(Column 5) Regulatory Cross References
<b>31 TAC §358.3</b>				
(a)	TWDB shall develop a State Water Plan (SWP) with 50-year planning cycle, and based on the Regional Water Plan (RWP)	NA	Applies to the State Water Plan. The Regional Water Plan is based on a 50-year planning cycle, however.	
(b)	RWP is guided by the following principles:			
(b)(1)	Identified policies and actions so that water will be available at reasonable cost, to satisfy reasonable projected use and protect resources	Yes	Chapters 4, 6, and 7	§358.3(b)(4), §357.5 (a); §357.7 (a)(9); §357.5(e)(1); §357.7(a)(10)
(b)(2)	Open and accountable decision-making based on accurate, objective information	Yes	Regular public meetings of the RWPG; Public Hearings scheduled throughout the region.	§357.5 (e)(6)
(b)(3)	Consideration of effects of plan on the public interest, and on entities providing water supply	Yes	Chapters 4, 5, and 7	
(b)(4)	Consideration and approval of cost-effective strategies that meet needs and respond to drought, and are consistent with long-term protection of resources	Yes	Chapters 4, 6, and 7	§358.3(b)(1), §357.5 (e)(4) and §357.5 (e)(6); §357.7(a)(9)
(b)(5)	Consideration of opportunities that encourage the voluntary transfer of water resources	Yes	Chapter 4	
(b)(6)	Consideration and approval of a balance of economic, social, aesthetic, and ecological viability	Yes	Chapters 4 and 7	
(b)(7)	The use of information from the adopted SWP for regions without a RWP	NA		
(b)(8)	The orderly development, management, and conservation of water resources	Yes	Chapters 4 and 6	§357.5(a)
(b)(9)	Surface waters are held in trust by the State, and governed by doctrine of prior appropriation	Yes	Chapters 3 and 4	
(b)(10)	Existing water rights, contracts, and option agreements are protected	Yes	Chapter 4	§357.5(e)(3)

**Table 7.1 Checklist for Comparison of the Regional Water Plan to Applicable Water Planning Regulations (Cont.)**

(Column 1) <b>Regulatory Citation</b>	(Column 2) <b>Summary of Requirement</b>	(Column 3) <b>2011 Plan Complies? (Yes/No/ NA)</b>	(Column 4) <b>Location(s) in Regional Plan and/or Other Commentary</b>	(Column 5) <b>Regulatory Cross References</b>
(b)(11)	Groundwater is governed by the right of capture unless under local control of a groundwater conservation district	Yes	Chapter 1 and Chapter 4	
(b)(12)	Consideration of recommendation of stream segments of unique ecological value	Yes	Chapter 8. The RWPG decided to not recommend any of the Region's stream segments for designation as a segment of unique ecological value	§357.8
(b)(13)	Consideration of recommendation of sites of unique value for the construction of reservoirs	Yes	Chapter 8. The RWPG decided to not recommend any location as a site of unique value for construction of a reservoir.	§357.9
(b)(14)	Local, regional, state, and federal agency water planning coordination	Yes	The regional water planning process has included all levels of coordination, as necessary.	
(b)(15)	Improvement or maintenance of water quality and related uses as designated by the State Water Quality Plan	Yes	Chapters 4 and 5	
(b)(16)	Cooperation between neighboring water planning regions to identify common needs and issues	Yes	The regional planning process has included coordination with neighboring regions, as needed.	
(b)(17)	WMS described sufficiently to allow a state agency making financial or regulatory decisions to determine consistency of the WMS with the RWP	Yes	Chapter 4	§357.7(a)(9)
(b)(18)	Environmental evaluations are based on site-specific information or state environmental planning criteria	Yes	Chapter 4. To the extent that such information is available.	§357.5(e)(1); §357.5 (e)(6); §357.5(k)(1)(H)
(b)(19)	Consideration of environmental water needs, including instream flows and bay and estuary inflows	Yes	Chapters 3 and 4	§357.5(e)(1); §357.5(l); §357.7 (a)(8)(A)(ii)
(b)(20)	Planning is consistent with all laws applicable to water use for state and regional water planning	Yes	The regional water planning process has considered applicable water laws.	§357.5(f)

**Table 7.1 Checklist for Comparison of the Regional Water Plan to Applicable Water Planning Regulations (Cont.)**

(Column 1) Regulatory Citation	(Column 2) Summary of Requirement	(Column 3) 2011 Plan Complies? (Yes/No/ NA)	(Column 4) Location(s) in Regional Plan and/or Other Commentary	(Column 5) Regulatory Cross References
(b)(21)	Ongoing permitted water development projects are included	Yes	Chapters 1, 3, and 4	
<b>31 TAC §357.5</b>				
(a)	The RWP: provides for the orderly development, management, and conservation of water resources; prepares for drought conditions; and protects agricultural, natural, and water resources	Yes	Chapters 4, 6, and 7	§358.3(b)(1); §358.3(b)(1); §357.7(a)(10)
(b)	The RWP submitted by January 5, 2011	NA	To be submitted	
(c)	The RWP is consistent with 31 TAC §358 and 31 TAC §357, and guided by state and local water plans	Yes		
(d)(1) & (2)	The RWP uses state population and water demand projections from the SWP; or revised population or water demand projections that are adopted by the State	Yes	Chapter 2. Population of the ETRWPA did not change in this round, per TWDB. Water demands changes were approved by TWDB in January 2010	
(e)(1)	The RWP provides WMS adjusted for appropriate environmental water needs; environmental evaluations are based on site-specific information or state environmental planning criteria	Yes	Chapter 4; to the extent that site-specific information was available.	§358.3(b)(1); §358.3(b)(18); §357.7 (a)(8)(A)(ii), §358.3(b)(19)
(e)(2)	The RWP provides WMS that may be used during a drought of record	Yes	Chapter 4	
(e)(3)	The RWP protects existing water rights, contracts, and option agreements	Yes	Chapter 4	§358.3(b)(10)

**Table 7.1 Checklist for Comparison of the Regional Water Plan to Applicable Water Planning Regulations (Cont.)**

(Column 1) <b>Regulatory Citation</b>	(Column 2) <b>Summary of Requirement</b>	(Column 3) <b>2011 Plan Complies? (Yes/No/ NA)</b>	(Column 4) <b>Location(s) in Regional Plan and/or Other Commentary</b>	(Column 5) <b>Regulatory Cross References</b>
(e)(4)	The RWP provides cost-effective and environmentally sensitive WMS based on comparisons of all potentially feasible WMS; The process is documented and presented to the public for comment.	Yes	Chapter 4. WMS have been presented to the public and adopted by the RWPG.	§358.3(b)(4)
(e)(5)	The RWP incorporates water conservation planning and drought contingency planning	Yes	Chapters 4 and 6	§357.5(k)(1)(A)&(B); §357.7(a)(7)(B)
(e)(6)	The RWP achieves efficient use of existing supplies and promotes regional water supplies or regional management of existing supplies; Public involvement is included in the decision-making process	Yes	Chapter 4. Regular public meetings held to discuss WMS and conservation issues.	§358.3(b)(2); §358.3(b)(4); §358.3(b)(18)
(e)(7)(A)&(B)	The RWP identifies (A) drought triggers, and (B) drought responses for designated water supplies	Yes	Chapter 6	§357.5(e)(5); §357.5(k)(1)(A)&(B)
(e)(8)	The RWP considers the effect of the plan on navigation	Yes		
(f)	Planning is consistent with all laws applicable to water use in the Region	Yes	The regional planning process has considered applicable water laws.	§358.3(b)(20)
(g)	The following characteristics of a candidate special water resource are considered:			
(g)(1)	The surface water rights are owned by an entity headquartered in another region.	Yes	Chapter 1	
(g)(2)	A water supply contract commits water to an entity headquartered in another region.	Yes	Chapter 1	
(g)(3)	An option agreement may result in water being supplied to an entity headquartered in another region.	Yes	Chapter 1	

**Table 7.1 Checklist for Comparison of the Regional Water Plan to Applicable Water Planning Regulations (Cont.)**

<b>(Column 1) Regulatory Citation</b>	<b>(Column 2) Summary of Requirement</b>	<b>(Column 3) 2011 Plan Complies? (Yes/No/ NA)</b>	<b>(Column 4) Location(s) in Regional Plan and/or Other Commentary</b>	<b>(Column 5) Regulatory Cross References</b>
(h)	Water rights, contracts, and option agreements of special water resources are protected in the RWP	NA		
(i)	The RWP considers emergency transfers of surface water rights	Yes	No emergency transfers of water are anticipated in this plan update.	
(j)(1)-(3)	Simplified planning is used in the RWP in accordance with TWDB rules	NA		
(k) (1)&(2)	The RWP shall consider existing plans and information, and existing programs and goals related to local or regional water planning	Yes	Chapters 1 through 6	§358.3(b)(18); §357.5(e)(5); §357.5(e)(7); §357.7(a)(1)(A)(M)
(l)	The RWP considers environmental water needs including instream flows and bays and estuary flows	Yes	Chapters 3 and 4	§358.3(b)(19); §357.7(a)(8)(A)(ii)
<b><u>31 TAC §357.7</u></b>				
(a)(1)(A)-(M)	The RWP shall describe the region, including specific requirements of paragraphs A through M of this section of the regulations	Yes	Chapter 1	§357.7(a)(8)(A)(iii); §357.7(a)(8)(D); §357.5(k)(1)(C); §357.7(a)(7)(A)(iv)
(a)(2)(A)-(C)	The RWP includes a presentation of current and projected population and water demands, reported in accordance with paragraphs A through C of this section of the regulations	Yes	Chapter 2	

**Table 7.1 Checklist for Comparison of the Regional Water Plan to Applicable Water Planning Regulations (Cont.)**

(Column 1) <b>Regulatory Citation</b>	(Column 2) <b>Summary of Requirement</b>	(Column 3) <b>2011 Plan Complies? (Yes/No/ NA)</b>	(Column 4) <b>Location(s) in Regional Plan and/or Other Commentary</b>	(Column 5) <b>Regulatory Cross References</b>
(a)(3)(A)&(B)	The RWP includes the evaluation of current water supplies available (including a presentation of reservoir firm yields) to the Region for use during drought of record conditions, reported by the type of entity and wholesale providers	Yes	Chapter 3	
(a)(4) (A)&(B)	The RWP includes water supply and demand analysis, comparing the type of entity and wholesale providers	Yes	Chapter 4	
(a)(5)(A)-(C)	The RWP provides sufficient water supply to meet the identified needs, in accordance with requirements of paragraphs A through C of this section of the regulations	Yes	Chapter 4	
(a)(6)	The RWP presents data required in paragraphs (2) - (5) of this subsection in subdivisions of the reporting units required, if desired by the RWPG	Yes	Chapters 2 through 4	
(a)(7)(A)-(G)	The RWP evaluates all WMS determined to be potentially feasible, in accordance with paragraphs A through G of this section of the regulations	Yes	Chapter 1	§357.5(k)(1)(C); §357.7(a)(1)(M); §357.5(e)(5); §357.5(k)(1)(B)
(a)(8)(A)-(H)	The RWP evaluates all WMS determined to be potentially feasible, by considering the requirements of paragraphs A through H of this section of the regulations	Yes	Chapter 4	§358.3(b)(19); §357.5(e)(1); §357.5(l); §357.7(a)(1)(L); §357.7(a)(8)(D); §357.7(a)(8)(A)(iii);
(a)(9)	The RWP makes specific recommendations of WMS in sufficient detail to allow state agencies to make financial or regulatory decisions to determine the consistency of the proposed action with an approved RWP	Yes	Chapter 4	§358.3(b)(1); §358.3(b)(4); §358.3(b)(17)

**Table 7.1 Checklist for Comparison of the Regional Water Plan to Applicable Water Planning Regulations (Cont.)**

(Column 1) <b>Regulatory Citation</b>	(Column 2) <b>Summary of Requirement</b>	(Column 3) <b>2011 Plan Complies? (Yes/No/ NA)</b>	(Column 4) <b>Location(s) in Regional Plan and/or Other Commentary</b>	(Column 5) <b>Regulatory Cross References</b>
(a)(10)	The RWP includes regulatory, administrative, or legislative recommendations to facilitate the orderly development, management, and conservation of water resources; prepares for drought conditions; and protects agricultural, natural, and water resources	Yes	Chapters 4, 6, and 7	§358.3(b)(1) §357.5(a)
(a)(11)	The RWP includes a chapter consolidating the water conservation and drought management recommendations	Yes	Chapter 6	
(a)(12)	The RWP includes a chapter describing the major impacts of recommended WMS on key parameters of water quality	Yes	Chapter 5	
(a)(13)	The RWP includes a chapter describing how it is consistent with long-term protection of the state's water, agricultural, and natural resources	Yes	Chapter 7	
(a)(14)	The RWP includes a chapter describing the financing needed to implement the water management strategies recommended	Yes	Will be provided as Chapter 9	
(b)	The RWP excludes WMS for political subdivisions that object to inclusion and provide reasons for objection	NA		
(c)	The RWP includes model water conservation plan(s)	Yes	Chapter 6 of the 2006 Plan. Referred in the 2011 Plan.	
(d)	The RWP includes model drought contingency plan(s)	Yes	Chapter 6 of the 2006 Plan. Referred in the 2011 Plan.	
(e)	The RWP includes provisions for assistance of the TWDB in performing regional water planning activities and/or resolving conflicts within the Region	NA	No known conflicts within the region.	

**Table 7.1 Checklist for Comparison of the Regional Water Plan to Applicable Water Planning Regulations (Cont.)**

(Column 1) Regulatory Citation	(Column 2) Summary of Requirement	(Column 3) 2011 Plan Complies? (Yes/No/ NA)	(Column 4) Location(s) in Regional Plan and/or Other Commentary	(Column 5) Regulatory Cross References
<b>31 TAC §357.8</b>				
(a)	The RWP considers the inclusion of recommendations for the designation of river and stream segments of unique ecological value within the Region	Yes	Chapter 8. The RWPG decided to not recommend any of the Region's stream segments for designation as a segment of unique ecological value.	§358.3(b)(12)
(b)	If river or stream segments of unique ecological value are recommended, such recommendations are made in the plan on the basis of the criteria established in this section of the regulations	NA		
(c)	If the RWP recommends designation of river or stream segments of unique ecological value, the impact of the regional water plan on these segments is assessed	NA		
<b>31 TAC §357.9</b>				
(1)	The RWP considers the inclusion of recommendations for the designation of sites of unique value for construction of reservoirs	Yes	The RWPG decided to not recommend any location as a site of unique value for construction of a reservoir.	§358.3(b)(13)
(2)	If sites of unique value for construction of reservoirs are recommended, such recommendations are made in the plan on the basis of criteria established in this section of the regulations	NA		